



Description of Transport Codes for Space Radiation Shielding

Myung-Hee Y. Kim¹, John W. Wilson², and Francis A. Cucinotta³

¹Division of Space Life Sciences, Universities Space Research Association, Houston, TX 77058

²Distinguished Research Associates, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681

³Space Radiation Program, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058




Introduction


- Radiation transport codes, when combined with Risk Projection models, are main tool for shielding study and design.
- Approaches to assess the accuracy of Transport Codes:
 - Ground-based studies with defined beams and material layouts
 - Inter-comparison of transport code results for matched boundary conditions
 - Comparisons to flight measurements
- NASA's HZETRN/QMSFRG code has a very high degree of congruence for each of these criteria.



Components of Space Radiation Shield Design





External Environment



Shield Transmission Characteristics



- Boltzmann Transport Equation/Monte Carlo Techniques
- Atomic Interactions
- Nuclear Interactions



Internal Environment

Body Tissue Transmission Characteristics

- Boltzmann Transport Equation/Monte Carlo Techniques
- Atomic Interactions
- Nuclear Interactions



Physical Dosimetry Models

- Energy Absorption Events in Specific Sites

Biological Risk Models

- Cellular and Tissue Responses



NSRL for Biophysics Applications



photo RIPP BOWMAN FILE# 5-0011-03

Approximate Composition




Density: 0.00194 g/cm^3

Thickness: 1.2166 g/cm^2

N: $2.09 \times 10^{22} \text{ atoms/g}$

O: $6.81 \times 10^{21} \text{ atoms/g}$


Al: $7.41 \times 10^{21} \text{ atoms/g}$





Heavy Ion Reactions


Abrasion=projectile-target overlap
(n, p, and cluster knock-out)
Ablation = pre-fragment decay
(n, p, d, t, h, alphas de-excitation)
Coalescence = p and n knockout
form bound states in couple phase
space




$$d\sigma/d\Omega \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z_P, A_P, \epsilon_P(\beta_{inc}) \\ Z_T, A_T \\ Z_F, A_F, \epsilon_F(\beta_F), m \\ \theta, \phi \end{array} \right.$$



Fragmentation Cross Sections: Comparison of QMSFRG to Si and Fe Beams




0.65 GeV/u







1.05 GeV/u

1.6 GeV/u







NSRL Bragg Curve Comparison to GCR Event-based Risk Model (GERM)





Thick Target Comparison with NASA's GERMCode* and GRNTRN Code*

Iron (1 GeV/u) on Polyethylene




*HZETRN uses identical Nuclear Cross Sections and Atomic Data




Space Weather Prediction Center, NWS, NOAA

NOAA/SWPC
Boulder, CO

12BIT
1x1



Satellite Environment



GOES Solar X-ray Flux

NOAA Scales Activity

Range 1 (minor) to 5 (extreme)

NOAA Scale	Past 24 hours	Current
Geomagnetic Storms	none	none
Solar Radiation Storms	none	none
Radio Blackouts	none	none

GOES15 0.5–4.0 Å GOES15 1.0–8.0 Å




Space Environmental Models

Fit to Proton Measurements for Continuous Spectrum

Functional Forms with Measurements


- Exponential in Rigidity or Energy: $\Phi(>R)=J_0 \exp(-R/R_0)$ or $\Phi(>E)=J_0 \exp(-E/E_0)$
- Sum of Two Exponentials : $\Phi(>E)=J_1 \exp(-E/E_1) + J_2 \exp(-E/E_2)$
- Weibull Function in Energy : $\Phi(>E)=J_0 \exp(-\kappa E^\alpha)$



Band Function with 4 Parameters (J_0 , γ_1 , γ_2 , R_0): Double Power Law in Rigidity

$$\Phi(>R) = J_0 R^{-\gamma_1} e^{-R/R_0} \quad \text{for } R \leq (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) R_0$$


$$\Phi(>R) = J_0 R^{-\gamma_2} \left\{ \left[(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) R_0 \right]^{(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)} e^{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)} \right\} \quad \text{for } R \geq (\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) R_0$$






Interplanetary Galactic Cosmic Ray Energy Spectra

Advanced Composition Explorer/Cosmic Ray Isotope Spectrometer



Badhwar-O'Neill Model fit of ACE CRIS oxygen energy spectra measurements near solar minimum and near solar maximum






Solar modulation parameter:
ACE CRIS oxygen measurements (line);
IMP-8 ($Z > 8$) channel 7 measurements (\circ)




Geometry Models


Shield Geometry Model and Shielding Analysis by CAD



Structural Distribution Model for Layers of Spacecraft Using ProE™/Fishbowl



Ray Tracing inside Spacecraft





Color-coded Representation of Directional Shielding





Human Geometry Models and Active Marrow Distributions

Computerized Anatomical Male


Male Adult voXel



All Vertebrae:
42.3%







Pelvic Region:
20.9%



Skull and Arms:
9.4%





Inter-Comparisons of Transport Codes







Comparisons with Flight Measurements



1.5-2.7X
Albedo protons
Albedo neutrons
Secondary neutron
Geomagnetic
transmission
function




Badhwar GD, 1997

25%
Albedo protons
Secondary pions
Kaons



Evaluation of Detector Response

- TEPC Response for Trapped Protons on STS-89 -





Phantom Torso Experiment (PTE) of ISS/STS TLD Dose Contours of Brain Slice




Organ Dose Equivalent using CR-39/TLD, mSv			
Tissue	Measured	HZETRN/QMSFRG	Difference (%)
Skin	4.5±0.05	4.7	4.4
Thyroid	4.0±0.21	4.0	0
Bone surface	5.2±0.22	4.0	-23.1
Esophagus	3.4±0.49	3.7	8.8
Lung	4.4±0.76	3.8	-13.6
Stomach	4.3±0.94	3.6	-16.3
Liver	4.0±0.51	3.7	-7.5
Bone marrow	3.4±0.40	3.9	14.7
Colon	3.6±0.42	3.9	8.3
Bladder	3.6±0.24	3.5	-2.8
Gonad	4.7±0.71	3.9	-17.0
Chest	4.5±0.11	4.5	0
Remainder	4.0±0.57	4.0	0
Effective dose	4.1±0.22	3.9	-4.9

Yasuda et al., 2002

Active Dosimetry Data, mGy/d							
Organ	Trapped		GCR		Total		Difference
	Expt	Model	Expt	Model	Expt	Model	(%)
Brain	0.051	0.066	0.076	0.077	0.127	0.143	13.3
Thyroid	0.062	0.072	0.074	0.077	0.136	0.148	9.4
Heart	0.054	0.061	0.075	0.076	0.129	0.137	6.7
Stomach	0.050	0.057	0.076	0.077	0.126	0.133	5.5
Colon	0.055	0.056	0.073	0.076	0.128	0.131	2.5

Cucinotta FA et al., 2008




Badhwar GD et al., 2002




Predictions for Mars Mission

■ 1-y interplanetary space ■ 1-y Mars surface ■ 30-month Mars mission







Annual Effective Dose for Male





Model-based Prediction of SPE Occurrence






Model-based Prediction of SPE Fluence

Propensity of SPEs: Hazard Function of Offset β Distribution Density Function


$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{4000} + \frac{K}{4000} \frac{\Gamma(p+q)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} \left(\frac{t}{4000}\right)^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{t}{4000}\right)^{q-1} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 4000)$$




Effective dose on Mars Surface with MOLA Topography

Altitude, km	T, °C	p, kPa	Atmospheric shielding thickness, g/cm ²	
			Low density model	High density model
8.0	-41.16	0.34	0.14	0.19
4.0	-34.99	0.49	6.73	9.25
2.0	-33.00	0.58	10.97	15.08
0.0	-31.00	0.7	16.00	22.00
-2.0	-29.00	0.84	19.04	26.17
-4.0	-27.01	1.00	22.64	31.13
-8.0	-23.02	1.44	32.00	44.00

August 1972 SPE



Annual GCR at Solar Minimum



Conclusion

- Highly accurate descriptions of space environment models are available:
 - Inter-stellar GCR composition accuracy : ~5% for abundant elements (oxygen, carbon, and iron); less than 10% for all major GCR components; and solar modulation parameters with the 98.9% correlation in various spacecraft measurements.
 - Probabilistic SPE occurrence model as a tool for managing the risk.
 - Comprehensive catalogue of GLE fluences and spectra assembled for shielding design application using satellites and NM spectra;
- Radiation transport codes have been validated extensively:
 - QMSFRG model agrees for absorption σ -section within +5% and elemental fragment σ -section $\pm 25\%$.
 - Good agreement found from inter-comparisons of transport codes.
 - Comparison of model prediction to flight measurements: accuracy less than 15 % for GCR dose rates; ~25% for secondary particles ; and $\pm 30\%$ for quality factors by TEPC.
 - Minor scientific questions remained: low-energy light ion cross section, albedo protons, secondary pions, and kaons.
- Space Radiation Shield Design Tool for the reliable and realistic radiation simulation in the early design process of exploration missions:
 - Environmental models, shielding and body geometry models, atomic and nuclear interaction and fragmentation models are incorporated.